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Abstract

Purpose: This research aims to study the concepts and types of priests of other religions which appear in the Tipitaka, to study the Buddha’s relationship with other religious priests and to use it as a practice for Buddhists to live in harmony with other religions.

Methodology: The researcher used as a research method a documentary and commentary of the Pali Tipitaka Siamese official version, Mahamakut Rajavidyalaya and the Tipitaka and the Commentaries which are translations of the Mahamakut Rajavidyalaya Foundation under Royal Patronage. The study mainly focused on the appearance of the Vinaya Tipitaka and the Suttanta Piaka.

Main Results: The result of this study found that other religions and priests, which appear in the Tipitaka as the 6 teachers’ religions; Including Purnakassapa, Makhaligosala, Ajitakesakambala, Pakudhakaccayana, Niganthanaputra and Sajayve-lahaputra. Each religion has a different viewpoint. Other religious priests include Paribajaka, Tpasa, Jatila, Ayatithika and Hermits. The relationship in terms of being an adversary include Brahmins, Huhukajti, Dghanakhaparibjaka and Saccaka-Nigantha and so on. Then, there is also a friendly relationship, such as Shasenapati, a disciple of Nigantha, Kaanta-brahmana, Mahasakuludayiparibajaka, Paribbajaka named Kandaraka and Dghatapass-Nigantha.

Implications: When analyzing why other religious priests changed Buddhism. Due to the use of the miraculous miracle, and from the curiosity or intolerance in the study of that person. In the past, the contact of the Buddha with other religion’s priests was friendly. If there was something to criticize, when he clarified, it was considered terminated. If there is some good, it was accepted and applied in Buddhism. If the act of the Buddha was to deal with other religion’s priests as a practice for the disciples of today, it is possible to do so.
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INTRODUCTION

Buddhism occurred among Brahmanism and other doctrines in India. The Buddha himself used to study and searched for the way to enlightenment from other doctrines when he first renounced the world. The Buddha-to-be studied the doctrines of Udaka Rmaputta and ra Klima, and later he applied meditative attainments and absorption that he obtained from both doctrines as the base of consideration of the Three Common Characteristics. In Smaaphala Sutta of Dgha Nikya, the famous doctrine was one of the six teachers, but it was in the wrong view in the Buddhist perspective. The in-depth study revealed that those doctrines were the rivals of Buddhism. According to Vinaya Piaka, after being newly enlightened, the Buddha met Upaka jvaka and conversed with him. Shaking the head of Upaka might indicate agreement or disagreement because nowadays people in India shake their heads for agreement too. After enlightenment, the Buddha gave a sermon to the five ascetics who believed in self-mortification and tamed the three-brother-ascetics with matted hair and their disciples who paid respect to nga and fire by using his psychic power.

The mentioned relationship between the Buddha and the priests in other religions seemed to be negative or unfriendly. In other suttas, such as Mahsakuludayi Sutta in Majjhima Nikya, on the way of alms-collecting in the morning, seeing that the time was still early, the Buddha paid a visit to residence of wanders and conversed with them. Details in this sutta showed that the meeting was friendly and in a well-wishing atmosphere.

Buddhists should study and pay attention to the role of the Lord Buddha towards the priests and disciples of other religions and then apply it into their practice with members of other religions to build friendly relations. For the above mentioned reasons, the researcher was interested in a study of the relationship between the Buddha and the priests of other religions.
in the Tipiaka.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To study concepts and types of priests in other religions in the Tipitaka,
2. To study the relationship between the Buddha and the priests of other religions, and
3. To propose a guideline of practice for Buddhists in living with members of other religions.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study was focused on the Tipiaka in Pali, the Siamese (Symaraha) official version, printed by Mahamakut Rajavidyalaya in B.E. 2556(2013) and the Tipitaka and Commentaries, translation version, printed by Mahamakut Rajavidyalaya Foundation in B.E. 2546(2003) in the Bicentennial Celebration of the Chakkri Dynasty in Ratanakosin Period(91 book series). The main study was emphasized on the Vinaya Piaka and the Suttanta Piaka.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The data of this documentary research were collected by a study of the Tipiaka in Pali and Thai versions. Only the events, stories, Vinaya items and explanation of the Commentaries (91 book series) in which the Buddha treated with the priests of other religions were chosen. The data were analyzed by content analysis. The positive results were explained for a good practice. All the results were composed systematically with conclusions for practical policy and further study.

EXPECTED ADVANTAGES

1. To know the concepts and types of priests in the Tipiaka,
2. To know the relationship between the Buddha and the priests of other religions, and
3. To obtain a guideline for Buddhists for living with members of other religions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Sujip Punyanuphab (1996)(2539 B.E) in Shanda Sutta on his literary work, the Tipiaka for People B.E. 2539, said that a naked recluse named Kassapa told the Buddha about his ordinary practice, such as being naked, standing toileting, and licking fingers while eating, all that signified his recluse-hood and noble-hood. The Buddha said that the practice that was not composed of Sla, Samadhi and Pa was far away from recluse-hood and noble-hood. The one accomplishing with loving-kindness, good-will, deliverance of mind, deliverance from mental intoxication and liberation through wisdom(Pavimutti) was called a recluse and Brahmin.

Arayavamso (2015)(2558 B.E) said about the relation between Buddhism and Hinduism in his book, The Relation of Hinduism and Buddhism on Interaction of Thais and Indians, that the relationship between Thais under Buddhism as the national religion and Indians under Hinduism as the national religion was under the influence of belief of religious members in each period and duration of time. The religious influence mixed with faiths, opinions, traditions, customs, and cultures made ways of living of people in Thailand and India similar. Although the ideal or aim of each religion was different, the fundamental teachings in moral level of both religions could be traced from the same origin. It was no wonder if there was assimilation of Buddhists and Hindus in India and Thailand.

Phrahamaha Prakasit Acarapali (Kaewkongkate) (2016)(2559 B.E.) in a study of Titthiyaparivsa, the practice for screening members of other religions to become monks in Buddhism, found that only the naked recluses had to vow for Titthiyaparivsa for 4 months since they were accustomed to being naked and had views against Buddhism. The recluses from other doctrines could enter to the monkhood without this condition because they had belief and practice similar to those in Buddhism. In the life of Bodhisattvahood, the Buddha and some of his disciples became hermits wearing robes, renouncing the world, wandering for alms-collecting, teaching the principles of Kamma, the five precepts, the eight precepts, and absorption and attainments similar to the practice in Buddhism. During the observation of Titthiyaparivsa, if any members of the naked doctrine became the stream entrants, they could ask for ordination at once because they had achieved the fundamental attainment.
The followers of this doctrine considered the effort as useless and comical. What could be traced when a thread ran out end as the thread thrown out until its end (D.S. 9/95/69 Sym) action, action of the others, no power, no effort. All beings were moving by destiny and it could stop when it came to an defiled and polluted by themselves. On the other hand, they could be purified by themselves without the assistance of their Makkhaligosala believed that nothing could defile or pollute all beings, beings had no cause and factor and they could be
sake of present benefits was better than doing goodness for advantages in a far future. Care about the fruits of goodness and badness, but they did care only the advantages in the present. Doing badness for the nowadays believe in the theory of non-action. This belief resulted to a belief in the world to come. Some people did not Such a belief was to negate merit and demerit. It might be the influence of Purnakassapas teaching that made some people
example, Purnakassapa said that when a person himself did an action or asked the others to do it, violated others by himself or asked the others to do it, . killed, stole, made adultery, told a lie etc., sin or badness did not occur to him (D.S. 9/94/55-56 Sym)
concepts. Some priests renounced the world to follow their own ideal and some followed the leaders of the doctrines. For the results of the study found that there were many types of priests and ways of practice because of many doctrines and
rights, and establishing unity principles.

Of human dignity, social opportunity and living opportunity, building each other a dependent system, respect for personal elite system, and at the same time, could be used to build unity and reconciliation by giving rights, freedom and equality of human dignity, social opportunity and living opportunity, building each other a dependent system, respect for personal rights, and establishing unity principles.

RESULTS OF THE STUDY

The results of the study found that there were many types of priests and ways of practice because of many doctrines and concepts. Some priests renounced the world to follow their own ideal and some followed the leaders of the doctrines. For example, Purnakassapa said that when a person himself did an action or asked the others to do it, violated others by himself or asked the others to do it, . killed, stole, made adultery, told a lie etc., sin or badness did not occur to him (D.S. 9/94/55-56 Sym) .

Such a belief was to negate merit and demerit. It might be the influence of Purnakassapas teaching that made some people nowadays believe in the theory of non-action. This belief resulted to a belief in the world to come. Some people did not care about the fruits of goodness and badness, but they did care only the advantages in the present. Doing badness for the sake of present benefits was better than doing goodness for advantages in a far future.

Makkhaligosala believed that nothing could defile or pollute all beings, beings had no cause and factor and they could be defiled and polluted by themselves. On the other hand, they could be purified by themselves without the assistance of their action, action of the others, no power, no effort . All beings were moving by destiny and it could stop when it came to an end as the thread thrown out until its end (D.S. 9/95/69 Sym) . This belief was called destiny doctrine, waiting for fortune. The followers of this doctrine considered the effort as useless and comical. What could be traced when a thread ran out

until its end. Those who had such a belief would let their lives pass uselessly or preferred to take the risk. This belief could be called Ahetu-apaccaya Vda (Ang. Ti.ka. 20/501/195)\(^3\).

The mentioned concepts belonged to the six teachers in the Buddhhas time. Another four teachers were Ajita Kesā Kambala, Pakudha Kaccyana, Nigaha Na Putta, and Sajaya Velaha Putta. Nigaha Na Putta was the founder of Jainism or Naked Doctrine\(^4\), a famous doctrine in India from the past to the present. Being naked was not recognized by cultures around the world. Tribal people who remained naked were treated as uncivilized and they should be developed. It was remarkable that monks in Jainism remained naked but they were accepted to live their lives among civilized people.

The Buddhism arose among prior philosophers and teachers. When the Buddhism was a prince, he studied 18 arts and one of them was theology\(^5\). It could be said that there were priests in different doctrines in the royal court at that time. When Prince Siddhattha renounced the world search for salvation, he approached and studied other doctrines without conceit and monarchic pride. Applying the teaching and practice from those doctrines as a clue, the prince could attain enlightenment.

After enlightenment, the Buddha taught people and priests in other doctrines depending on his opportunity. The Buddha had to keep contact with priests and leaders of other religions without avoidance. It could be noticed that the Buddhhas teachings were profound, gentle, and clever. For example, the Buddha taught the five ascetics with the Middle Path, not to be extreme in self-mortification and sensual pleasure, and taught the three-brother-ascetics and their disciples with the right practice to fire, i.e. to eradicate the fire of lust, hatred and delusion because those ascetics worshipped fire and nga king (Vi. Maha. 4/37/35 Sym)\(^6\). The Buddha used his psychic power to suppress or tame the others when necessary. But above all, the Buddha kept a good relationship with the priests from other religions as mentioned in Mahasakuludyi Sutta (M.M. 13/3/314-355/256-280 Sym)\(^7\) that on the way for his alms-collecting in the morning, the Buddha paid a visit to Sakuludiyi Paribbjaka and he welcomed the Buddha with friendliness and hospitality.

There were some visitors coming to see the Buddha with anger, such as Dghanakah Paribbjaka. Conversing based on logical principle and calmness, the Buddha made Dghanakha realize his own mistakes and ask the Buddha as his refuge (M.M. 13/269-275/217-221 Sym)\(^8\). The essence in the Buddhhas teachings was to achieve the fruit of practice and let go those who did not pay attention to his teachings. The Buddha never defeated anyone by harsh speech, assaulting or killing (Khu. Dha. 25/24/36 Sym)\(^9\).

With the practice and conduct of the Buddha as a model, the later disciples could live with members of other religions. Some beliefs and traditional practice transferred from time to time were accepted in Buddhism. For example, once the Buddha sneezed while giving a sermon, monks exclaimed jvatu bhante bhagav jvatu sugato = Long Live the Lord! and that interfered giving the sermon. The Buddha asked the monks if that exclamation could come true. The monks replied that it was not that like. In the later time, monks went to other areas and sat still speechless. People thought that it was extraordinary behavior. So the Buddha allowed monks to respond to peoples sympathy and goodwill.

Eating meat one should not eat. Monks ate elephant meat and horse meat from alms-collecting, but that was looked down on and condemned by people because those meats were obnoxious. That was related to the Buddha, and then the rule of practice was set forth. At a later time, Supassa, a nga king, paid an audience to the Buddha and said that the monks should not eat snake because snakes and ngas might cause harms to monks. The rule of practice in monks consumption was set forth in addition to 10 Akappiyama or 10 kinds of forbidden meat. This mention was to show that the Buddha listened to opinions of people in particular society and followed their ways of living (Vi.Maha. 5/59-60/57-60 Sym)\(^10\).

As noted, the Buddha kept a good relationship with the priests from other religions as mentioned in Mahasakuludyi Sutta (M.M. 13/3/314-355/256-280 Sym)\(^7\). With the practice and conduct of the Buddha as a model, the later disciples could live with members of other religions. Some beliefs and traditional practice transferred from time to time were accepted in Buddhism. For example, once the Buddha sneezed while giving a sermon, monks exclaimed jvatu bhante bhagav jvatu sugato = Long Live the Lord! and that interfered giving the sermon. The Buddha asked the monks if that exclamation could come true. The monks replied that it was not that like. In the later time, monks went to other areas and sat still speechless. People thought that it was extraordinary behavior. So the Buddha allowed monks to respond to peoples sympathy and goodwill.

Eating meat one should not eat. Monks ate elephant meat and horse meat from alms-collecting, but that was looked down on and condemned by people because those meats were obnoxious. That was related to the Buddha, and then the rule of practice was set forth. At a later time, Supassa, a nga king, paid an audience to the Buddha and said that the monks should not eat snake because snakes and ngas might cause harms to monks. The rule of practice in monks consumption was set forth in addition to 10 Akappiyamas or 10 kinds of forbidden meat. This mention was to show that the Buddha listened to opinions of people in particular society and followed their ways of living (Vi. Maha. 5/59-60/57-60 Sym)\(^10\).


For the rain retreat, monks wandered here and there throughout the year-round. In rainy season, monks walked past rice field and caused damage to it. People complained that priests in other doctrines kept the rain retreat, but why not the monks in Buddhism. That was related to the Buddha, he asked for the truth and then the rule for rain retreat or Buddhist Lent was set forth for monks.

Converting to a new religion is a significant matter for everyone. In the Buddhas time, there were many members and disciples of other faiths who vowed to take the Triple Gems as their refuge. Beside the Buddhas characteristics, his teaching methods were interesting. For example, the Buddha defeated ngas in the fire houses of the three-brother-ascetics by using his psychic power11. Supernatural power may not be accepted by some people. But we have to adjust our mindset. With the wonder of the Buddhas psychic power, the Three-brother-ascetics and their disciples stayed calm and were ready to listen to the Buddhas teaching. In a comparison, psychic power is similar to a convincing advertisement of products to interest the audience and their consumption.

It can be said that the Buddha compromised with the majority of people. It is a clue for Buddhists in the present to adjust ways of living to people in communities where they live. For Dhamma principles, seeing the goodness of Buddhism, some people convert to Buddhism and develop themselves by higher practice. Some become monks and live in the monkhood forever and some disrobe, but they all still take the Triple Gems as their refuge and observe the five precepts (M.M. 13/314-355/256-280 Sym)12. No one places blame on the Buddha, but on his own falsehood instead. Since the Buddha taught his disciples to treat others with loving-kindness, so Buddhism is a religion of wisdom and peace.

CONCLUSION

Indian civilization is distinguished and has continued for a long time. It was mixed up from 2 tribal civilizations; Ariyaka and Milakkha. The Dhamma principles concern God, nature, and living a life. The final stage of living a life is to renounce the world to become sanyasi. The teachings of the main religions or doctrines are to live a life as a recluse. Lay-men, governors, warriors, emperors, and soldiers wishing to live a perfect life must renounce the world in the final stage of their lives. The relationship between the Buddha and priests in other religions was friendly. If there were some misunderstanding or disagreement, every issue came to an end with explanation and clarification. Evidence in killing could never be found in the life of the Buddha. Some positive or advantageous sides in other religions were adapted in Buddhism, such as the Pitomkka reciting ceremony. This showed that the Buddha paid respect to the supremacy of the Dhamma.

Some people or recluses may attach to the outer form of practice, such as bathing in the Ganges River in order to wash away the sin. In Buddhism, the Buddha placed a focus on inner refreshment and cleaning (sacittapariyodapana) (Khu. Dha. 25/24/36 Sym)13 because sin and defilement was embedded in ones mind. The more sin and defilement one has, the more badness he can express through bodily action and verbal action by killing, stealing, telling a lie, using harsh speech etc. Self-mortification, rubbing the body with ashes, taking a bath in the Ganges River, or sitting on ones heels could not eradicate the inner sin or defilement. The Buddha tried all these practices (D. S. 9/266/176 Sym)14, but failed and then he changed his way of practice. After his enlightenment, the Buddha revealed his enlightenment to the others; some accepted it and some rejected it depending on their freewill, such as the five ascetics and Upaka Ajvaka. The Buddha kept contact with some doctrines as mentioned in Mahakuludyi Sutta (M.M. 13/314/255 Sym)15. It should be noticed that some doctrine leaders living in the same time with the Buddha, but the Buddha never had a chance to converse with them directly, only through disciples of both sides, such as Nigaha Na Putta. It might be that it was not appropriate to let the naked ones have an audience with the Buddha.

SUGGESTIONS

The Thai Sagha should bring the results of this study into a learning supplementary content to monks and novices so that they can learn from the role of the Buddha in building relationships with other religions and then use it as a guideline in dealing with priests and members of other religions. Monks and novices will have a broad mind to treat other religious

---

members and not block themselves from contacting with other religious members. In opposite, the qualifications of monks and novices can convince the outers to turn to Buddhism. For further study, the suggestions are on; 1. The role of monks to deal with other religious members based on the buddhas conduct, 2. Influence of Buddhism on people and religions in India, and 3. the concept of external doctrines in the Tipiaka.

Aryavangso (8 BE); Horner (1996); Kanthik (6 BE); Mahamakut Buddhist Foundation (2013, 6 BEa,B,B,B,B); Phramahaprakasit Acarpali (9 BE); Somdet Phra Buddhakosajarn (Prayudh Payutto) (0 BE); Sujib Punyanubhab (9 BE); Wichakana et al. (0 BE)

REFERENCES


Phramahayodhin Yodhiko, Assist Prof (November - December, 2016(2559 B.E)). The Buddhas Altruistic Treatment Toward the Lower Class. Dhammathas Academic Journal, 16(3).

